

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,611	07/17/2003	Makoto Nishimura	2003_0976A	9671
513	7590 05/24/2006	•	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			LE, HUNG CHARLIE	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			3663	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/620,611	NISHIMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hung C. Le	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1 - 12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1 - 12 are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see "AMENDMENT", filed 01/31/2006, with respect to claims 1 - 12 have been fully considered. Upon further review of the claims, the examiner noted that there is a plurality of distinct inventions claimed by the applicant.

Therefore, a restriction is required.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U. S. C. 121:
 - Claims 1 10, drawn to a method for working a tube, classified in class 72, subclass 370.02.
 - Claims 11 12, drawn to an apparatus for working a tube, classified in class 72, subclass 370.13.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as

Application/Control Number: 10/620,611

Art Unit: 3663

claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case: The apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, for example: can be used for any deformation of objects.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species

Are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify

Such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be

Application/Control Number: 10/620,611

Art Unit: 3663

Obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Page 4

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR

1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor

of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by

the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Hung C. Le whose telephone number is

571-272-8757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 07:30am -

05:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HCL 05/18/06

JACK KEITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER